

REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated May 27, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on August 27, 2003. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-37 are pending in the application. Claims 1-37 remain pending following entry of this response. Claims 1, 11, 14-17, 19 and 21-25 have been amended. Applicants submit that the amendments do not introduce new matter. In particular, although claim amendments to selected independent claims have been made, these amendments reflect subject matter recited in previously pending respective dependent claims and to subject matter of unamended independent claims. Accordingly, Applicants submit the Examiner has already considered the amended subject matter and, therefore, the amendments do not necessitate a Final Office Action should the Examiner choose to perform another search or make different arguments on the basis of the references of record.

Claims 1-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Kanno et al.* (US 6,526,424; hereinafter *Kanno*). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Kanno discloses a browser image display bookmark system. A user is permitted to associate an image (as opposed to conventional text) with a bookmark. *Kanno* also appears to suggest updating bookmarks pointing to relocated web pages. The Examiner's rejection implies that *Kanno* teaches some means of recovering links (to other network addresses) embedded in bookmarked pages. In this regard, Applicants respectfully submit that such a reading misconstrues *Kanno*. *Kanno* does not teach, show or suggest identifying embedded network addresses in information corresponding to a bookmarked address. Nor does *Kanno* teach, show or suggest a way to recover such embedded network addresses should a bookmarked address change without a forwarding address. Regarding the rejection of claim 27, for example, the Examiner cites col. 19, line 65 through col. 20, line 25 and col. 21, lines 16-65. While Applicants agree with the Examiner's characterization of the cited portion in so far as updating

bookmarks is concerned, nowhere is there a teaching of identifying and/or recovering network addresses embedded in a bookmarked page which cannot be retrieved. In fact, *Kanno* only describes situations in which a forwarding address is provided. *Kanno* provides no handling of any kind where the bookmarked page cannot be recovered. Applicants have reviewed each passage cited by the Examiner in this regard and have identified no teaching, showing or suggestion of identifying, storing and/or recovering (or any other handling of) network addresses embedded in a bookmarked page which cannot be retrieved. Therefore, the claims are believed to be allowable and allowance of the same is requested.

The prior art made of record is noted. However, it is believed that the secondary references are no more pertinent to the Applicants' disclosure than the primary references cited in the office action. Therefore, it is believed that a detailed discussion of the secondary references is not deemed necessary for a full and complete response to this office action. Accordingly, allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, neither alone nor in combination, teach, show, or suggest the method or apparatus of the present invention. Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed. Should the Examiner identify any remaining issues preventing allowance, the Examiner is invited to call the attorney signing below to facilitate an expedient resolution.

Respectfully submitted,



Gero G. McClellan
Registration No. 44,227
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77056
Telephone: (713) 623-4844
Facsimile: (713) 623-4846
Attorney for Applicant(s)